Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
051339 DEC 6 - 2005
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

NO.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DARRYL LEE HAAN PETITIONER

V.

MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL . et al RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

CASE NO. 04-2261 U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

SOUTHERN DIVISION

CASE NO. 103-CV- 803

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DARRYL LEE HAAN

10118 JUNGLE ST

NEW PORT RICHEY . FLORIDA. 34654

727- 809-0832

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. WHY THE PETITIONER, FOURTEENTH AND FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED IN STATE COURTS AND FEDERAL COURTS, ACT 14 U.S.C., RULE 13, U.S.C. R.

2. WHY WERE STATE AND FEDERAL RULES, GUIDELINES AND LAWS WERE VIOLATED AGAINST PETITIONER RULE 13., U.S.C. R., FED

3. WHY THE DEFAULT JUDGEMENT AGAINST THE RESPONDENT WAS NOT GIVEN TO THE PETITIONER AFTER DEFAULT WAS ASKED FOR BY PETITIONER, FED. R. 55.

4.WHY WERE THE RESPONDENTS ALLOWED TO FILE OUTSIDE OF THE COURT CASE AGAINST THE PETITIONER DURING THE CASE IN COURT ALREADY. FED.R. 8. 13

5. WHY TERMANATION RIGHTS WERE NEVER TAKEN AGAINST THE PETITIONER IN STATE COURTS

ACT 288 of 1939 SEC.37 712A.19b

6.. WHY THE PETITIONER WAS NEVER TOLD OF MOLESTING AND ABUSE OF MINOR CHILDREN

U.S.C. AMENDMENT ART. 14 . 5

PROOF OF SERVICE

DARRYL LEE HAAN

PETITIONER

10118 JUNGLE ST

NEW PORT RICHEY , FLORIDA. 34654

PAUL J. GREENWALD

ATTORNEY FOR KENT COUNTY FRIEND OF THE COURT

& LEISA ARDNT & ROBERT ARDNT

VARNUM RIDDERING SCHMIDT & HOWLETT

BRIDGEWATER PLACE

333 BRIDGE ST N. W.

PO. BOX. 352

GRAND RAPIDS . MICHIGAN 49501-0352

616-336-6000

JOEL D. MCGORMLEY

ATTORNEY FOR

RESPONDENT

MI DEPT. OF ATTORNEY GENERAL.

EDUCATION & SOCIAL SERVICES DIVISION

PO. BOX. 30758

LANSING , MICHIGAN . 48909

517- 373-7700

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL

950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. N.W.

WASHINGTON . DC.

20530-0001

CORPRATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

NONE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW

JURISDICTION

CONSTITUTIONAL AND
STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

CONCLUSION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES PAGE NUMBER

U.S.APPEALS COURT FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

NO. 04-2261 APPENDIX M & O

WESTERN DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN

NO. 103:-CV-803 APPENDIX B THRU M

STATUTIES AND RULES

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT

FIFTH AMENDMENT

RULE 13 . 90 DAYS TO FILE CASE

WITHOUT HARRASSMENT FROM RESPONDENTS

FRAP 3 . 6 CIR. R. 3.

L. CIV. R. 3.

ACT. 170 OF 1964 691. 1410

ACT 170 OF 1964 691. 1411

ACT 174 OF 1971 400. 233

OPINIONS BELOW

OPINION OF THE U.S. APPEALS AT APPENDIX N.O.P.

DENIED. AND NO JURISDICTION

OF PETITION IS UNPUBLISHED case no. 04-2261

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

OPINION OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT AT APPENDIX B.

DISSMISSED AND NO JURISDICTION

OF PETITION IS UNPUBLISHED case no. 103-CV-803

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN .

WESTERN DISTRICT . SOUTHERN DIVISION

FROM STATE COURTS

OPINION OF THE 17TH CIRCUIT COUT OF MICHIGAN .

CLOSED CASE OF MINOR CHILDREN

OF PETITION IS UNPUBLISHED case no. 85-1732-02 NA

STATE OF MICHIGAN . 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

FAMILY DIVISION . KENT COUNTY

JURISDICTION

GOVERNMENTAL LIABILITY FOR NEGLIGENCE

ACT 170 OF 1964 691.1410 . 691.1411

HAAN v MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL . et . al

WAS FILED JULY OF 2002. FOR PERMISHION TO FILE CASE

MUST AMEND LOWER COURTS RECORDS OR OPINIONS

WITH CASE OF . HAAN v

MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL . et al

THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS DECIDED CASE

NO. 04-2261 ON SEPTEMBER 8. 2005

REHEARING DENIED & NO JURISDICTION

PARENTAL RIGHTS ISSUSE AND CONSTATITIONAL RIGHTS

U.S. C. ACT 14 RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS

ACT 288 of 1939 SEC. 37. 712 A. 19a . b

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN

WESTERN DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DIVISION case no. 1:03-CV-803

HON. DAVID W. MCKEAGUE . HON. JOSEPH G. SCOVILLE

RULED AS MOOT AND NO JURISICTIONAL

U.S. C. ACT 14 RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS

ACT 288 of 1939 SEC. 37 712 A. 19a. b

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN APPEALS COURT

RULED CASE CLOSED ON JULY OF 2002

MINOR CHILDREN NOW ADULTS AND

CASE NO. DM-85-1732-02 NA

CLOSED CASE OF MINOR CHILDREN RELEASED

FROM STATE OF MICHIGAN COURTS.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT . RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS

U.S. C. ACT 14

FIFTH AMENDMENT . U.S. C. ACT 5

CIVIL RIGHTS . IN A COURT OF LAW

FATHERS RIGHTS. PARENTAL RIGHTS

ACT 288 of 1939 712A. 19a. b

HUMAIN RIGHTS AS A USA CITEZEN

RULE 13 . RIGHT TO FILE WITHOUT

UBSTUCTION FROM RESPONDENTS

FRAP 3. C CIR. R. 3.

L. CIV. R.3.